



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,688	04/18/2006	Chiyota Ogata	121036-0081	4029
35684	7590	11/14/2007	EXAMINER	
BUTZEL LONG			THOMAS, JAISON P	
350 SOUTH MAIN STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 300			1796	
ANN ARBOR, MI 48104				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/14/2007		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent@butzel.com
burns@butzel.com
ball@butzel.com

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/541,688	OGATA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jaison P. Thomas	1796	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/4/2007.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to amendments filed on 9/4/2007.
2. Claims 1-17 are pending. Claim 1 is amended.
3. The objection to the Drawings filed on 7/5/2005 is withdrawn in view of Applicant's remarks.
4. Claims 1-17 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shingo (JP 2001-002864).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 9/4/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's contend that Shingo fails to teach, recognize or render obvious the specific selection of carbon black as a filler material (to the exclusion of silica) for seal molding materials in a nickel-hydrogen cell that contains an electrolytic solution.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's arguments. The Examiner notes that the instant claim language uses "comprising" claim language that would not necessarily exclude the use of silica in the claimed composition. Further, as stated in the previous Office Action, the Examples 1-3 illustrated in the Shingo reference do contemplate the use of carbon black only with no reference to the use of silica. The Examiner has enclosed a copy of an English translation of the Shingo reference provided by the translation services at the USPTO which reiterates the teachings disclosed in the earlier Office Action. Examples 1-3 on pgs.5-6 show a composition that

contains 100 parts by weight of EPDM, 80 parts by weight carbon black, and 3.5 parts by weight of dicumyl peroxide with no mention of silica. Thus Examiner is unclear on Applicant's contention that the Shingo reference does not teach a composition using carbon black as the exclusive filler. With respect to the limitations regarding the use of the composition in nickel-hydrogen cells containing electrolytic solutions, the Examiner contends that these limitations are drawn to intended uses that are not given patentable weight and, further, since the compositions are identical in nature would be able to perform the same applications inherently.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaison P. Thomas whose telephone number is (571) 272-8917. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jaison Thomas
Examiner
11/1/2007

JT



Mark Kopec
Primary Examiner